US Serial No.: 10/627,910

Response to Office action dated February 6, 2007

Amendment and Response dated July 6, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 22, 24, 26 and 29-33 remain in the application.

Claims 1-21, 23, 25, 27-28 were previously canceled.

Claim 22 is in independent form.

35 U.S.C. §103 REJECTIONS

Claims 22, 24, 26 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) over United States

Patent No. 3,470,598 to Berthelsen in view of United States Patent No. 5,634,306 to Riegelman.

The Office Action indicates that Berthelsen discloses an integral plastic and metal part

comprising a metal component (Figure 1, O_R) and having a first opening defining edges (Figure

1, holes on one side of the casing) and a second opening opposite the first opening (Figure 1.

the folded edge on the part labeled O_R). The Office Action further indicates that Berthelsen

discloses a plastic component disposed about a portion of the exterior of the metal component

(Figure 1, O_B).

The Office Action indicates that Berthelsen fails to disclose a component having a closed

cross section defining an interior and an exterior. The Office Action relies upon Riegelman to

disclose an integral plastic and metal part having a closed cross section defining an interior and

an exterior. The Office Action references Figure 18; col. 9, lines 26-30 and Abstract lines 2-3.

Claim 22 has been amended to require, inter alia, that the plastic component not cover

the second opening. Thus, as is set forth in the Figures and the written description at, for

example, paragraph [0028], the second opening remains accessible for a core tool (including

retraction thereof) even after the molding operation. In contradistinction, the Berthelsen

reference fully covers all of the openings. As set forth in Berthelsen, the metal component O_R is

fully encapsulated within the plastic component.

4

US Serial No.: 10/627,910

Response to Office action dated February 6, 2007

Amendment and Response dated July 6, 2007

Similarly, the Riegelman reference fails to disclose a structure as claimed having a

plastic component not covering a second opening. The Office Action relied on the Figure 18

embodiment of Riegelman and associated written description. Applicant also directs the

Examiner's attention to the embodiment disclosed, for example, at Figure 41 and the associated

written decription. However, in no embodiment does Riegelman teach or suggest a second

opening for allowing a core tool to be inserted into the interior of the metal component during a

molding operation, and wherein the plastic component does not cover the second opening.

Rather, in each of Berthelsen and Riegelman, the metal structure is completely encased by the

plastic component. Accordingly, neither Berthelsen nor Riegelman teach or suggest the

invention set forth in amended claim 22. More specifically, neither Berthelsen nor Riegelman

teach or suggest an integral plastic and metal part with a metal component having a second

opening wherein the plastic component does not cover the second opening. Absence of this

teaching in the prior art negates any finding of obviousness. Further, there would be no reason

to modify either of the references to obtain the claimed invention, because such a modification

would result in a configuration not sought to be obtained--namely, fully encasing the metal

component.

For at least this reason, amended independent claim 22 is allowable over the applied

prior art. Claims 24, 26, and 29-33 which ultimately depend from claim 22 are likewise

allowable over the applied prior art.

5

Attorney Docket No. GVC.00001US

US Serial No.: 10/627,910

Response to Office action dated February 6, 2007

Amendment and Response dated July 6, 2007

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Favorable

consideration and prompt allowance of the application is earnestly solicited.

Should Examiner Nordmeyer believe anything further would be desirable in order to

place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to telephone the

Applicant's undersigned attorney at (248) 689-3500 if any unresolved matters remain.

It is believed that any additional fees due with respect to this paper have already been

identified. However, if any additional fees are required in connection with the filing of this paper.

the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to

Deposit Account No. 50-0852 (Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle PC). A duplicate copy of this

letter is enclosed herewith.

Any needed extension of time is hereby requested with the filing of this document.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD W. HOFFMANN

Registration No. 33,711

Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle PC

PO Box 4390

Troy, Michigan 48099-4390

Telephone: Facsimile:

248-689-3500 248-689-4071

Email:

hoffmann@reising.com

Date:

July 5, 2007

6